Solar Radiation and Environmental Biophysics Geo 827, MSU Jiquan Chen Oct. 6, 2015

- 1) Solar radiation basics
- 2) Energy balance
- 3) Other relevant biophysics
- 4) A few selected applications of RS in ecosystem studies

1) Fundamental solar radiation



Dr. Brian C. Ancell Assistant Professor <u>Atmospheric Science Group</u> <u>Department of Geosciences</u> <u>Texas Tech University</u>

On Oct. 5, Slides 2-x were downloaded from http://www.atmo.ttu.edu/bancell/

© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

1) Fundamental solar radiation

• Energy is defined as the ability to do work



Energy

- Energy is defined as the ability to do work
 - Kinetic energy the energy of motion
 - Potential energy energy that can be used
- Energy is conserved! (1st law of thermodynamics)



Energy Transfer

- Although energy is conserved, it can move through the following mechanisms:
 - 1) **Conduction** heat transfer by physical contact, from higher to lower temperature



Conduction in the Atmosphere

- Occurs at the atmosphere/surface interface
 - Partly responsible for daytime heating/nighttime cooling! (The diurnal cycle)

Energy Transfer

- Although energy is conserved, it can move through the following mechanisms:
 - 2) Convection heat transfer by movement



Convection in the Atmosphere

Vertical transport of heat





Convection in the Atmosphere

Vertical transport of heat





Horizontal transport of heat = advection

Convection in the Atmosphere



Courtesy maltaweather.info

Energy Transfer

- Although energy is conserved, it can move through the following mechanisms:
 - 3) Radiation transfer of energy by electromagnetic radiation (no medium required!)





Radiation Characteristics of radiation 1) **Wavelength** – the distance between wave crests Wavelength Crest (ridge) Amplitude Trough

- 2) Amplitude the height of the wave
- 3) Wave speed constant! (speed of light 186,000 miles/second)

• The wavelength of radiation determines its type



• The amplitude determines the intensity

• What emits radiation? EVERYTHING!!







© 2007 Thomson Higher Education

• The types (wavelengths) and intensity (amplitudes) of radiation depend on temperature



Shortwave radiation

Longwave radiation

 Blackbody – an object that absorbs all radiation and emits the maximum amount of radiation at every wavelength (not realistic)



- Graybody an object that emits a fraction (emissivity) of blackbody radiation (more realistic)
- Total radiation emitted is equal to the sum over all wavelengths above

 Stefan-Boltzmann Law – the total amount of blackbody radiation emitted (I) is related to temperature:

$I = \sigma T^4$

 Stefan-Boltzmann Law – the total amount of blackbody radiation emitted (I) is related to temperature:

$I = \sigma T^4$

• For a graybody, this becomes: $I = \varepsilon \sigma T^4$

where ϵ is the emissivity

• Wien's Law – the wavelength of maximum blackbody emission is related to temperature:

 $\Lambda_{max} = 2900/T$

• Wien's Law – the wavelength of maximum blackbody emission is related to temperature:



Typical atmospheric transmittance in VIS-SWIR



From Schowengerdt book

GEO 827 – Digital Image Processing and Analysis

Absorption Spectra of Atmospheric Gases



Anthes, p. 55

Practical use of Radiation Properties

- Visible satellite imagery doesn't work in the dark
- Infrared (longwave) radiation occurs always use infrared satellite imagery!



© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

The solar constant – the amount of solar radiation hitting the earth



© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

Earth – 1367 W/m² Mars – 445 W/m²



© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

- Earth's tilt is the true cause of the seasons!
 - Earth's axis is tilted 23.5°



© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

 3 factors contribute to the amount of incoming solar radiation (insolation):

1) Period of daylight





Period of Daylight



© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

Vernal and autumnal equinox

Period of Daylight



© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

Summer solstice

(b)

Period of Daylight



© 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

Winter solstice

 3 factors contribute to the amount of incoming solar radiation (insolation):

2) Solar angle



(a)



(b) © 2010 Pearson Education, Inc.

Solar Angle



Northern Hemisphere Summer © 2007 Thomson Higher Education

 3 factors contribute to the amount of incoming solar radiation (insolation):

3) Beam depletion



Beam Depletion







Planetary Albedo

- A fraction of the incoming solar radiation (S) is reflected back into space, the rest is absorbed by the planet. Each planet has a different reflectivity, or albedo (α):
 - Earth α = 0.31 (31% reflected, 69% absorbed)
 - Mars $\alpha = 0.15$
 - Venus $\alpha = 0.59$
 - Mercury $\alpha = 0.1$
- Net incoming solar radiation = $S(1 \alpha)$
- One possible way of changing Earth's climate is by changing its albedo.



Land has higher albedo than ocean

Clouds have high albedo

Ice and snow have high albedo
2) Energy balance

Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology

Chapin, Matson and Vitousek 2nd edition, 2011

Chapter 4 Water and Energy Balance



8/30/11

Fig. 4.2

Energy balance equation

$K + L + H + LE + G + A_w + \Delta Q / \Delta t = 0$

where:

- K net shortwave radiation
- L net longwave radiation
- LE latent heat transfer
- H sensible heat transfer
- G soil flux
- Aw advective energy
- $\Delta Q/\Delta t$ change in stored energy

Bowen ratio = H/LE replace $H = B \cdot LE$

Units: [EL⁻²T⁻¹]

2) Other relevant biophysics Reflection of land surface

Seeing (infra)*Red*



Chlorophyll strongly absorbs radiation in the red and blue wavelengths but reflects green wavelengths. (This is why healthy vegetation appears green.)

The internal structure of healthy leaves act as excellent diffuse reflectors of near-infrared wavelengths.

Measuring and monitoring the near-IR reflectance is one way that scientists can determine how healthy (or unhealthy) vegetation may be.

Anita Davis & Jeannie Allen

Spectral information: vegetation



Wavelength, nm

UCL

reflectance(%)



Vegetation characteristics



- high reflectivity in NIR
- distinguish between vegetation types on basis of spectral reflection curves

Spectral signature

Explain why water looks darkish blue; Explain why vegetation looks greenish; Explain why sand looks reddish yellow



2) Other relevant biophysics

Vertical temperature

Atmospheric temperature

http://lightning.sbs.ohio-state.edu/geog1900/ch4_pressure_wind1.ppt(4 slides)

Temperature Basics

- **Temperature** measure of average kinetic energy (motion) of individual molecules in matter
- Three temperature scales (units): Kelvin (K), Celsius (C), Fahrenheit (F)
 - All scales are relative
 - degrees $F = \frac{9}{5}$ degrees C + 32
 - degrees K = degrees C + 273.15



Temperature Layers



An artist's view



- Pressure-temperature relation (Ideal gas law)
- Adiabatic lapse rate (dry & wet)

Vapour

- Vapour pressure, ea
- Sat. vapour pressure, ea*
- Absolute humidity, ρ_v
- Specific humidity, $q = \rho_a/\rho_v$
- Relative humidity, Wa = ea/ea
- Dew point temperature, Td







Landscape Ecology 19: 291–309, 2004. © 2004 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands. 291

Research article

Disturbance and landscape dynamics in the Chequamegon National Forest Wisconsin, USA, from 1972 to 2001

Mary K. Bresee^{1,*}, Jim Le Moine¹, Stephen Mather¹, Kimberley D. Brosofske², Jiquan Chen¹, Thomas R. Crow³ and John Rademacher¹ ¹Department of Earth, Ecological, and Environmental Science, University of Toledo, OH 43606, USA; ²School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931, USA; ³Forestry Sciences Lab, USDA Forest Service, Grand Rapids, MN 55744, USA; *Author for correspondence (e-mail: bres9575@hotmail.com)

Received 25 September 2002; accepted in revised form 12 May 2003

Key words: Disturbance, Forest management, Fragmentation, GIS, Landscape dynamics, Landscape structure, Landsat MSS, Roads, TM and ETM+, Wisconsin



Figure 2. Six classified images (1972-2001) of the landscape. Cover types include mixed hardwood (MH), jack pine (JP), red pine (RP), mixed hardwood/conifer (MHC), regenerating forest or shrub (RFS), and non-forested hare ground (NFBG).



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE dDIRECT.

Remote Sensing of Environment 93 (2004) 402-411

Remote Sensing Environment

www.elsevier.com/locate/rse

Estimating aboveground biomass using Landsat 7 ETM+ data across a managed landscape in northern Wisconsin, USA

Daolan Zheng^{a,*}, John Rademacher^b, Jiquan Chen^a, Thomas Crow^c, Mary Bresee^a, James Le Moine^d, Soung-Ryoul Ryu^a

^aDepartment of Earth, Ecological, and Environmental Sciences, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA ^bUSDA, Forest Service Ruby Mtn./Jarbidge Ranger District, Wells, NV 89835, USA ^cUSDA Forest Service, WFWAR, Arlington, VA 22209, USA ^dDepartment of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48108, USA

Received 7 January 2004; received in revised form 13 July 2004; accepted 5 August 2004



Fig. 1. (a) Framework of estimating AGB (Mg/ha) using Landsat 7 ETM+ data and field measurements in the CNF; and (b) spatial distributions of the plots used for model construction (circles) and validation (triangles).

D. Zheng et al. / Remote Sensing of Environment 93 (2004) 402-411



Fig. 3. Maps for (a) AGB (Mg/ha), (b) land cover, and (c) age map (recoded as a category map to increase the readability). All were derived from 2001 Landsat 7 ETM+ data for CNF.

406



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Remote Sensing of Environment 112 (2008) 2018-2032

Remote Sensing Environment

www.elsevier.com/locate/rse

Predicting plant diversity based on remote sensing products in the semi-arid region of Inner Mongolia

Ranjeet John^{a,*}, Jiquan Chen^{a,b}, Nan Lu^a, Ke Guo^b, Cunzhu Liang^c, Yafen Wei^b, Asko Noormets^{d,e}, Keping Ma^b, Xingguo Han^b

^a Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA
^b Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100093, China
^c Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Inner Mongolia University, Huhehot, 010021, China
^d Department of Forestry and Environmental Resources, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA
^e Southern Global Change Program, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Received 18 November 2006; received in revised form 23 July 2007; accepted 14 September 2007



Figure 1. Changes in LCLU in Inner Mongolia between 1992 and 2001/2004 based on AVHHR (1992) and MODIS (2001 and 2004) derived IGBP classification, modified through recoding for forest, shrubland and savanna classes. Graphs denote proportions and changes in LCLU between 1992 to 2001 and 2004.









Fig. 2. Species richness distributions at county level include: a) all species, b) shrubs, c) underground bulbs/corms, d) perennial herbs, e) trees, and f) annuals. These maps were developed based on species distribution database at county level from *Flora of Inner Mongolia* (Ma, 1989, 1990, 1993, 1994, 1998).



Figure 2. Map and stand visualization simulations (SVS) of all live stems z=5 cm dbh at Wind River and Teakettle. Circles are proportional to diameter and color coded by species. To facilitate comparison, plots and diameter circle sizes have been scaled to the same dimension. Species codes at Wind River are Abies amabilis (ABAM), A. grandis (ABGR), A procera (ABPR), Alnus rubra (ALRU), Corrus nuttallii (CONU), Pinus monticola (PIMO), PSME (Pseudotsuga merziesi), Taxus Previrolis (TABR), Thuja piticat (THPL), and Tsuga heterophylal (TSHE). Species codes at Teakettle are Abies concolor (ABCO), A magnifica (ABMA), Calcoedrus decurrens (CADE), Pinus lambertiana (PILA), P. jeffreyi (PIJE), Quercus chrysolepis (QUCH), and O. kelloggii (QUKE). Crowns representations of each tree by species were developed from shapes in SVS and drawn over the location of each stem.



Fig. 3. Typical CANAPI crown (circle) and tree shadow (line) detections over QuickBird 0.6 m panchromatic images in the Teakettle Experimental Forest, Sierra National Forest, California. Shadows that are truncated by tree crowns or the edge of the image are not used in tree height calculation. The imagery was acquired June 25, 2003.

Ecosystems (2004) 7: 545-562 DOI: 10.1007/s10021-004-0144-5



Spectral and Structural Measures of Northwest Forest Vegetation at Leaf to Landscape Scales

Dar A. Roberts,^{1*} Susan L. Ustin,² Segun Ogunjemiyo,¹ Jonathan Greenberg,² Solomon Z. Dobrowski,² Jiquan Chen,³ and Thomas M. Hinckley⁴

¹Department of Geography, EH3611, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, 93106, USA; ²Department of Air, Land, and Water Resources, University of California, Davis, California, 95616, USA; ³Department of Earth, Ecological, and Environmental Sciences, University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 43606, USA; ⁴College of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA



Spectral and Structural Measures of Pacific Northwest Forests 551



Figure 2. Leaf-level hemispherical reflectance and transmittance spectra of three broadleaf and three conifer dominants. Reflectance is plotted as a solid line; transmittance, as a dashed line. Plots show the mean ± SD. The number of spectra taken is shown in parentheses. Species names follow the codes in the text. POTR, Populus trichocarpa; ACMA, Acer macrophyllum; ALRU, Alnus rubra; PSME, Pseudotsuga menziesii; THPL, Thuja plicata; TSHE, Tsuga heterophylla.

556 D. A. Roberts and others



Figure 6. AVIRIS image data from a subset of the study site showing albedo. spectral fractions for nonphotosynthetic vegetation (NPV), green vegetation (GV), and shade. The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), scaled between 0.6 and 1.0, and equivalent water thickness (EWT), scaled between 0 and 5,100, are shown to the right of spectral fractions. Five locations that represent a diversity of age classes are in the shade image. These stands are A) 8, B) 29, C) 70, D) 132, and E) 461 years old.